UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,449	07/07/2005	Yukinori Kita	SONYJP 3.3-380	9772
	7590 10/29/200 /ID, LITTENBERG,	8	EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		ADEGEYE, OLUWASEUN	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/520,449	KITA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	OLUWASEUN A. ADEGEYE	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05/07</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05/07/2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/520,449 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hatano (US 6,951,031 B2) in view of Mukai et al (US 2003/0152360 A1).

As to **claim 1**, Hatano discloses a program recording apparatus which obtains an electric program guide and receives and records a desired program by using the obtained electric program guide (see column 1, lines 29 – 32 and column 5, line 65 – column 6, line 16), comprising:

a setting unit operable to set a recording of the desired program based on at least a broadcast time period and a broadcast channel (see 5, line 65 – column 6, line 16);

Hatano does not disclose a searching means unit operable to search a character string in a predetermined region of the electric program guide including the set broadcast time period and broadcast channel; and

a controller operable to change said the set broadcast time period to a new time period when a predetermined word is included in the character string.

Mukai discloses a searching means unit (14) operable to search a character string in a predetermined region of the electric program guide including the set broadcast time period and broadcast channel (see [026], [028] and [045]); and

a controller (1) operable to change said the set broadcast time period to a new time period when a predetermined word is included in the character string (see [027] and [056]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the searching unit and the controller of Mukai to the apparatus of Hatano to effectuate the entire recording of desired time series data (see [008]).

As to **claim 2**, Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said the predetermined word is "extension" (see [027] and [56]).

As to **claim 3**, Hatano in view of Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1. However Hatano does not disclose wherein when the predetermined word is searched, a number sequence subsequent to the predetermined word is discriminated and a control for time- extending a termination point of the broadcast time period is carried out in response to the value of the discriminated number sequence.

Mukai discloses wherein when the predetermined word is searched, a number sequence subsequent to the predetermined word is discriminated and a control for time-extending a termination point of the broadcast time period is carried out in response to the value of the discriminated number sequence (see [044]and [056]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the searching unit and the controller of Mukai to the apparatus of Hatano to effectuate the entire recording of desired time series data (see

As to **claim 4**, Hatano in view of Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 3. However Hatano does not disclose wherein the termination point of the broadcast time period is time-extended by a predetermined amount when the number sequence subsequent to the predetermined word cannot be discriminated.

Mukai discloses wherein the termination point of the broadcast time period is time-extended by a predetermined amount when the number sequence subsequent to the predetermined word cannot be discriminated (see [075] and [076]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the searching unit and the controller of Mukai to the apparatus of Hatano to effectuate the entire recording of desired time series data (see [008]).

As to **claim 5**, Hatano discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim I, wherein the controller does not change the set broadcast time period to the new time period when the new time zone overlaps with another of set broadcast time period (see column 16, lines 40 - 50).

As to **claim 6**, Hatano discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 5, wherein, when the set broadcast time period is not changed, the controller causes a display to be made indicating that the set broadcast time period was not changed in addition to a display of the desired program set for recording (see column 13, line 56 – column 14, line11).

As to claim 7, Hatano discloses a program recording apparatus according to

claim I, wherein the setting unit further sets the change from the set broadcast time period to the new time period when the predetermined word is included in the character string (see[028] and [039]).

As to **claim 8**, Hatano discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 7, further comprising a display for displaying a logo indicating that the change from the set broadcast time period to the new time period has been set (see column 14, lines 3-11).

As to **claim 9**, Hatano in view of Mukai discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1. However Hatano does not disclose wherein the controller changes the set broadcast time period to the new time period just before the desired program recording starts when the predetermined word is included in the character string.

Mukai discloses wherein the controller changes the set broadcast time period to the new time period just before the desired program recording starts when the predetermined word is included in the character string (see [024], [056] and [075]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added the searching unit and the controller of Mukai to the apparatus of Hatano to effectuate the entire recording of desired time series data (see [008]).

As to **claim 10**, Hatano discloses a program recording apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the controller does not change the set broadcast time period to the new time period when the program recording apparatus would have an insufficient capacity at the new time period (see column 16, lines 40 - 50).

As to **claims 11 – 20**, these are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 1 - 10. Therefore, claims 11 - 20 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1- 10.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 2004/01436622 A1 discloses an information processing apparatus.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLUWASEUN A. ADEGEYE whose telephone number is (571)270-1711. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,449 Page 7

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10/16/2008 /Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621 /O.A/